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Presentation Overview

- Why do competitive foods and beverages matter?
- How does Illinois’ competitive food law compare to other states?
- What do we know about district policies?
- Do competitive food policies make a difference?
- What’s happening at the federal level?
- How can local wellness councils and coalitions help?
- Resources
- Summary
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Why do competitive foods and beverages matter?
What are competitive foods?
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Competitive food and beverage venues are widely available in US public schools

Source: Turner et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2012; both available on www.bridgingthegapresearch.org
Unhealthy competitive foods and beverages are widely available in US public schools

Source: Turner et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2012; both available on www.bridgingthegapresearch.org
How does Illinois’ competitive food law compare to other states’ laws?
How does Illinois compare to the rest of the states: á la carte restrictions, SY 2010-11

Specific requirements

Source: Forthcoming on Bridging the Gap website
How does Illinois compare to the rest of the states: vending machine restrictions, SY 2010-11

Source: Forthcoming on Bridging the Gap website
How does Illinois compare to the rest of the states: school store restrictions, SY 2010-11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Laws</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Middle</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Specific requirements

Source: Forthcoming on Bridging the Gap website
State with laws containing specific and required limits* on competitive foods

% of states** with law by grade level of applicability, SY 10-11

*Includes location/competitive food bans

**State includes the 50 states and D.C
State with laws containing competitive beverage restrictions*

% of states** with law by grade level of applicability, SY 10-11

*Includes location/competitive food bans
**State includes the 50 states and D.C
Illinois may have specific requirements governing a la carte, vending, and stores for elementary and middle schools, but what is really regulated?

For elementary and middle schools ONLY (nothing for HS)

- Specific, required limits on sugars, fats, and calories per serving of competitive foods sold in vending machines, school stores, and á la carte settings

- Prohibit regular, sugar-sweetened soda (but not other SSBs)

Source: 23 Ill. Adm. Code 305.15
District policies to reduce availability of competitive foods and beverages high in fats, sugars, and/or calories
Districts with policies containing specific and required limits* on competitive foods

% of districts nationwide with policy by grade level of applicability, SY 10-11
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*Includes location/competitive food bans
District with policies containing competitive beverage restrictions

% of districts nationwide with law by grade level of applicability, SY 10-11

Vending Machines

Regular soda ban  Other SSB ban  Ban 2%/whole milk

A la carte lines

Stores/Canteens

In-school Fundraisers

*State includes the 50 states and D.C.
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Model Competitive Food Policy: Chicago Public Schools’ Newly Adopted Policy

Chicago Public Schools Policy Manual

Title: HEALTHY SNACK AND BEVERAGE
Section: 407.3
Board Report: 12-1114-PO1 Date Adopted: November 14, 2012

Policy:

THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER RECOMMENDS:

That the Board rescind Board Report 04-0623-PO1 and adopt new Healthy Snack and Beverage Policy.

PURPOSE: The purpose of this policy is to establish nutrition standards, requirements and recommendations for foods and beverages sold, provided or served to students at school that compete with food provided under the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), National School Breakfast Program (NSBP), Seamless Summer Option (SSO), and the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP). This policy seeks to create a school environment that supports student nutrition and healthy food choices by providing nutrition standards for food and beverages sold as competitive foods in vending machines, school stores, food vendors on school grounds, à la carte items, or as part of a school fundraiser, celebration or reward. The nutrition standards set forth in this policy are consistent with the USDA’s gold standard for competitive foods.

POLICY TEXT:

A. Definitions:

Food of Minimal Nutritional Value: Food which provides less than five percent of the Reference Daily Intakes (RDI) for each of eight specified nutrients per serving (iron, calcium, protein, vitamin A, vitamin C, niacin, thiamine, or riboflavin).

À la Carte: Individually priced food items available for sale in the school dining center that are not part of the NSLP, NSBP, SSO or CACFP.

Competitive Foods: Foods and/or beverages sold to students on school grounds that compete with the school’s operation of the NSLP, NSBP, SSO, or CACFP. Competitive foods include, but are not limited to, items sold in vending machines, school stores, food vendors on school grounds, in school dining centers as à la carte items.

Rewards: Incentives offered to students in recognition of good behavior or performance whether offered before, during or after school.

Fundraiser: Any activity, event or sale to raise funds by or for a school occurring on school grounds whether before, during or after school hours including any direct sales activity by students on school
What is the impact of competitive food and beverage policies on availability, consumption, and BMI?

A review of the literature
Literature Summary - Impact on Availability and Consumption

- Prohibiting or restricting unhealthy snack foods and beverages is associated with less access to and consumption/purchasing of these items.

- Policies limiting fats, sugars, and calories are effective at reducing children’s intake.

- Policies allowing items high in fats, sugars, and calories are associated with increased consumption and less consumption of healthier options.

Literature Summary-Impact on BMI

- Most evidence thus far indicates that policies allowing unhealthy snack foods in schools are associated with increased BMI among students.

- Most of the limited evidence indicates that policies restricting unhealthy snack foods are associated with lower proportions of overweight/obese students or lower rates of increase in student BMI.

Literature Summary-To be effective, policies must be comprehensive

• Policies that apply to some but not all venues (e.g., to á la carte lines but not vending machines) or some but not all grade levels (ES, MS, HS) are not as effective as comprehensive policies

• Comprehensive policies are key to reducing access to and consumption of SSBs
  • Soda only policies don’t work

• Mixed evidence on the impact of in-school policies on dietary changes outside of school
  • Notable exceptions: Cradock Boston PS study, Taber CA study

What’s Happening at the Federal Level?
Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA) of 2010 (PL 108-296)

• Requires USDA to develop nationwide competitive food standards
  • USDA has drafted a proposed rule→ currently undergoing White House/OMB review
  • HHFKA requires that the standards be consistent with the most recent edition of the Dietary Guidelines for Americans
    • Limits on solid fats and added sugars
    • Only 1%/skim milk
    • Minimize consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages
    • Increase consumption of fruits/vegetables/proteins
  • Expect proposed rule to be issued in the next few months with 60- to 90-day public comment period → that means you!
  • Expect full implementation probably by SY 2015/2016
What role can local wellness councils and coalitions play?
How can wellness councils and local coalitions help to improve competitive food and beverage environments?

• What role can councils/coalitions play in policy development and implementation?
  • **District level:** instrumental in both policy development and, equally important, ensuring implementation and ongoing monitoring of compliance
  • **State level:** working to strengthen existing state law
  • **Federal level:** submitting comments and case studies during the public comment period on the proposed rule

• How can councils/coalitions engage the community in supporting strong competitive food policies?
  • Educating the community—parents, PTAs/PTOs, school-sponsored groups, etc.
  • Involving students in efforts to promote healthier food options (e.g., taste testings, school gardens, field trips, etc.)
For more information: www.bridgingthegapresearch.org
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Influence of Competitive Food and Beverage Policies on Children's Diets and Childhood Obesity

Research Review, July 2012

Healthy Eating Research
Bridging the Gap

Abstract

Competitive foods in a term used to describe foods and beverages that generally compete with school programs. These foods and beverages are sold through vending machines, in cafes or coffeehouses, school cafeterias, and other venues. They are commonly referred to as "junk" foods, and they are often high in fat, calories, sugar, and/or salt. Many schools also sell unhealthful drinks to students, including high-calorie and sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) such as sports drinks and high-calorie fruit drinks.

The influence of policies related to the sale of competitive foods is worth examining because the foods and beverages available in school have a significant effect on children's diets and their weight. Given the high rates of obesity among children and adolescents nationwide, it is important to understand how competitive foods and beverages sold and consumed by students in school can affect the quality of those products.

Introduction

More than 23 million children and adolescents in the United States—nearly one in three young people—are obese or overweight. The foods and beverages available in schools have a significant impact on children's weight. Children spend the majority of their day at school, and competitive foods from schools, the majority of public school students, particularly middle and high school students, still have easy access to them (Figure 1).

This brief examines the emerging evidence about the influence of competitive food and beverage policies on children's diets and childhood obesity. The research clearly shows a need for comprehensive policies that govern the sale and consumption of these foods and beverages in the school environment.

Available at:
www.healthyeatingresearch.org

• Research review
• Issue brief
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